

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,890	10/01/2001	Akira Yamaguchi	Q63866	3508	
75	590 01/16/2004	EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
washington, D	C 20031		2674	7	
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/200	4 J	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(_		
Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	•		
		09/965,8	390	YAMAGUCHI, AKIRA			
		Examine		Art Unit			
			g Nguyen	2674			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on u	ie cover sneet with the d	correspondence address			
THE   - Exte after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication are period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no e ion. s, a reply within the state period will apply and a statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be ting atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from aplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<b>1</b> .		
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 July 2003.					
2a) <u></u>	Pa) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	ion Papers						
9) <u> </u> 10)	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or be to the drawing(s) correction is requi	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ul> <li>12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>							
2) Notic	t(s)  e of References Cited (PTO-892)  e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Application has been examined. The claims 1-23 are pending. The examination results are as following.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-5, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jingu (US patent 5,537,127).

Regarding claims 1 and 19, Jingu discloses in figure 1, a medical image display system comprising a plurality of flat panel displays (111a-112c); a casing for integrally accommodating said plurality of flat panel displays (see monitor units accommodated within a console body, see abstract); a power source common to said plurality of flat panel displays (see column 4, lines 49-59); and a control unit for controlling said plurality of flat displays (see figure 7, see drive means 120 is controlled monitor units 111 and 112, see column 8, lines 41-46). The control unit is incorporated in the casing (see remote control operation to expand or contract the stroke of the cylinder 116, see figure 7, column 8, lines 41-46).

Regarding claims 4-5, Jingu discloses wherein the control unit for controlling the plurality of flat panel (see figure 7) with a remote controller (see column 8, lines 41-46).

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claims 16-18, Jingu disclose the medical system comprising a medical diagnostic apparatus connected to the control unit (see column 1, lines 7-12, column 8, lines 39-46), and an inherent power source is disposed inside the casing, and the power source supplies driver power to each one of said plurality of flat panel displays (see column 7, lines 38-47).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 6, 10-11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jingu (US patent 5,537,127) in view of Suzuki (US patent 6,344,836).

Jingu discloses in figure 1, a medical image display system comprising a plurality of flat panel displays (111a-112c); a casing for integrally accommodating said plurality of flat panel displays (see monitor units accommodated within a console body, see abstract); a power source common to said plurality of flat panel displays (see column 4, lines 49-59). However, Jingu does not disclose wherein the control unit has one function for moving an image displayed on each of said plurality of flat panel displays, the number of pixels, and for displaying the color image, and at least one of an image obtained by enlarging the display image, and wherein each of said plurality

Art Unit: 2674

of flat panel displays is a liquid crystal display. Suzuki does disclose the control unit has one function for moving an image displayed on each of said plurality of flat panel displays (see function of moving cursor, see column 6,lines 21-30), the number of pixels (see column 5, lines 34-40), and for displaying the color image, and an inherent at least one of an image obtained by enlarging the display image, and wherein each of said plurality of flat panel displays is a liquid crystal display (see column 5,lines 63-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of function of moving cursor the number of pixels, and for displaying the color image and wherein each of said plurality of flat panel displays is a liquid crystal display as taught by Suzuki into the display system of Jingu because this would for providing the size of the drawing data to be displayed on the display (see column 5, lines 34-43).

5. Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jingu (US patent 5,537,127) in view of Inbar (US patent 6,119,380).

Jingu discloses in figure 1, a medical image display system comprising a plurality of flat panel displays (111a-112c); a casing for integrally accommodating said plurality of flat panel displays (see monitor units accommodated within a console body, see abstract); a power source common to said plurality of flat panel displays (see column 4, lines 49-59). However, Jingu does not disclose that the plurality of flat panel displays has a holding unit for holding a medical film. Inbar discloses a medical X-ray transparencies comprising a like box (medical film), the transparencies constructed under a spring-load film-holder clips located along the top edge of the

Art Unit: 2674

viewing surface (see column 1, lines 22-24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of using the a medical X-ray transparencies comprising a like box (medical film), the transparencies constructed under a spring-load film-holder clips located along the top edge of the viewing surface as taught by Inbar into the system of Jingu because this would for holding the medical film more stable.

6. Claims 7, 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jingu (US patent 5,537127) in view of Yishida et al. (US patent 5,617,112).

Jingu discloses in figure 1, a medical image display system comprising a plurality of flat panel displays (111a-112c); a casing for integrally accommodating said plurality of flat panel displays (see monitor units accommodated within a console body, see abstract); a power source common to said plurality of flat panel displays (see column 4, lines 49-59). However, Jingu does not disclose that the image display system, wherein a display screen size in a diagonal line direction is 10 inches to 25 inches, a pixel size is 50 micrometers to 240 micrometers, the number of pixels is 600 pixels x 1600 pixels, and maximum luminance values of the flat panel.

From the claim 7, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have display screen size in a diagonal line direction is 10 inches to 25 inches, a pixel size is 50 micrometers to 240 micrometers, the number of pixels is 600 pixels x 1600 pixels as claimed since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the range of the system. A change in range is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

See In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1995) and

Art Unit: 2674

See In re Raven, 156 USPQ 679 (CCPA).

Yoshida et al. disclose the maximum luminance values and the minimum values of the display control (see figure 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of using the maximum luminance values as taught by Yoshida et al. in the device of Suzuki because this would have an optimal value of brightness of the display device is determined to perform display brightness control.

7. Claims 13-14 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jingu (US patent 5,537,127) in view of Berman et al. (US patent 6,448,956).

Jingu discloses in figure 1, a medical image display system comprising a plurality of flat panel displays (111a-112c); a casing for integrally accommodating said plurality of flat panel displays (see monitor units accommodated within a console body, see abstract); a power source common to said plurality of flat panel displays (see column 4, lines 49-59). However, Jingu does not disclose that the image display comprising an output is a hard copy and is a dry printer, and hard copy is a medical film. Berman et al. disclose a medical system having light boxes to view X-ray prints and could handle "hard" prints (hard copy or dry printer) in front of a light box (see column 1, lines 43-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of using an input is hard copy is a dry printer as taught by Berman into the display system of Jingu because this would for directing image manipulation capabilities in the field of teleradiology and radiology (see column 1, lines 55-59).

Art Unit: 2674

#### Response To arguments

7. Applicant's argument filed on 7-10-03 has been fully considered but they are not persuasive in view of new ground rejection.

Applicant argues that Suzuki does not teach a casing for integrally accommodating said plurality of flat panel displays and holding unit in the claimed invention. However, this argument is not persuasive due to the teaching of combination of Jingu and Inbar as discussed above.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number (703) 308-0425.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A HJERPE can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimnhung Nguyen January 3, 2004

RELEGIE CELLER

SUPERNISORY (William Committee